



MEMORANDUM

DATE: February 13, 2019

TO: M/OAA/CAS/CAM, Branch Chief, David McNeil

FROM: USAID OIG Africa Regional Office, Audit Director, Robert Mason /s/

SUBJECT: Financial Closeout Audit of USAID Resources Managed by Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa Under Multiple Agreements, January 1 to December 31, 2017 (Report No. 4-000-19-046-R)

This memorandum transmits the audit report of USAID resources managed by Centre for the AIDS Programme of Research in South Africa (CAPRISA) under the following awards:

Award Name (Type)	Award Number	Period	Prime implementer
Collaboration for the Development and Testing of an Injectable Antiretroviral Agent, Cabotegravir Long Acting, for HIV Prevention in Women (agreement - closeout)	AID-OAA-A-1500040	Jan 1, 2017-Jul 1, 2017	
DREAMS Initiative – PrEP Demonstration Research Study (subagreement)	100312.001.001.023	Jan 1, 2017-Dec 31, 2017	FHI360

CAPRISA contracted with the independent certified public accounting firm PricewaterhouseCoopers, Johannesburg, South Africa to conduct the audit. The contract required the audit firm to perform the audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards.

The audit firm states that it performed its audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards except that the audit firm did not have continuing professional education and external quality control review programs that fully satisfied the requirements set forth in GAGAS. The audit firm is responsible for the enclosed report and the conclusions expressed in it. We do not express an opinion on CAPRISA's fund

accountability statement; the effectiveness of its internal control; or its compliance with the award, laws, and regulations.¹

The audit objectives were to (1) express an opinion on whether the fund accountability statement for the period audited, was presented fairly, in all material respects; (2) evaluate CAPRISA's internal controls; and (3) determine whether CAPRISA complied with award terms and applicable laws and regulations (4) review the indirect cost rate; and (5) review the implementation status of prior period recommendations.

To answer the audit objectives, PricewaterhouseCoopers (1) audited the fund accountability statement for the award including the budgeted amounts by category and major items and the revenues received from USAID for the period covered by the audit and the costs reported by CAPRISA as incurred from January 1 to December 31, 2017; (2) evaluated the control environment, the adequacy of the accounting systems, and control procedures that pertain to CAPRISA's ability to report financial data consistent with the assertions embodied in each account of the fund accountability statement; (3) identified the award terms and pertinent laws and regulations and determined which of those, if not observed, could have a direct and material effect on the fund accountability statement; (4) reviewed the indirect cost rate, and (5) reviewed the implementation status of the prior period recommendations. CAPRISA reported expenditures of \$2,345,801 in USAID funds during the audited period.

The audit firm concluded the fund accountability statement presented fairly, in all material respects, program revenues and costs incurred under the award for the period audited. No significant deficiencies or material weaknesses in internal control were identified, and no instances of material noncompliance were reported. Accordingly, we are not making any recommendations.

We appreciate the assistance extended during the engagement.

OIG does not routinely distribute independent public accounting reports beyond the immediate addressees because a high percentage of these reports contain information restricted from release under the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905 and Freedom of Information Act Exemption Four, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) (“commercial or financial information obtained from a person that is privileged or confidential”).

¹ We reviewed the audit firm's report for conformity with professional reporting standards. Our desk reviews are typically performed to identify any items needing clarification or issues requiring management attention. Desk reviews are limited to review of the audit report itself and excludes review of the auditor's supporting working papers; they are not designed to enable us to directly evaluate the quality of the audit performed.