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MEMORANDUM

DATE: March 8, 2019

TO: Millennium Challenge Corporation, Vice President, Administration and Finance (and Agency Head), Cynthia Huger

FROM: Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Audit, Alvin A. Brown /s/

SUBJECT: MCC Charge Card Programs Posed a Low Risk of Improper Purchases and Payments in Fiscal Year 2017 (M-000-19-002-C)

Enclosed is the final audit report on the risk assessment of Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) charge card programs for fiscal year (FY) 2017. The Office of Inspector General (OIG) contracted with the independent certified public accounting firm of CliftonLarsonAllen LLP (CLA) to conduct the audit. The contract required CLA to perform the audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards; the Government Charge Card Abuse Prevention Act of 2012 (Public Law 112-194); and Office of Management and Budget Memorandum M-13-21, “Implementation of the Government Charge Card Abuse Prevention Act of 2012.”

In carrying out its oversight responsibilities, OIG reviewed CLA’s report and related audit documentation and inquired of its representatives. CLA is responsible for the enclosed auditor’s report and the conclusions expressed in it. We found no instances in which CLA did not comply, in all material respects, with applicable standards.

The audit objective was to assess the risks of illegal, improper, and erroneous purchases and payments in MCC’s FY 2017 charge card programs. To answer the audit objective, CLA analyzed and tested MCC’s internal controls over its charge card programs from October 1, 2016, to September 30, 2017. Charge card disbursements during this period totaled $4,586,703.

CLA concluded that MCC’s charge card programs posed a low risk of illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments in FY 2017. CLA’s report does not include any recommendations.

We appreciate the assistance extended to our staff and CLA’s employees during the engagement.

Office of Inspector General, Millennium Challenge Corporation
Washington, DC
https://oig.usaid.gov
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Why CLA Did This Audit

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP (CLA), was engaged by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) Office of Inspector General (OIG) to perform a risk assessment of Millennium Challenge Corporation’s (MCC’s) Charge Card Programs for the period October 1, 2016 to September 30, 2017 (FY 2017). The Government Charge Card Abuse Prevention Act of 2012 (Charge Card Act), Public Law 112-194, and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Memorandum M-13-21, Implementation of the Government Charge Card Abuse Prevention Act of 2012 requires the OIG to perform an annual risk assessment. The purpose of this assessment is to analyze the risks of illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments in MCC’s charge card programs. MCC’s charge card programs include the purchase card, travel card, and fleet card programs. USAID OIG uses the results of the risk assessment in determining the necessary scope, frequency, and number of OIG audits or reviews of these programs.

We performed the risk assessment in accordance with performance audit standards as defined in Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards (GAGAS). Our performance period was from January 2018 through February 2019.

What CLA Concluded

Based on the assessment, we determined that MCC’s three charge card programs, comprising of the purchase cards, travel cards, and fleet card, pose a low risk of illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments. The results of our risk assessment should not be interpreted to conclude that the charge card programs with low risk are free of illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments. Likewise, a high risk in the charge card programs should not be interpreted to indicate actual or known illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments.

CliftonLarsonAllen LLP

Arlington, VA
March 4, 2019
PROGRAM BACKGROUND

On October 5, 2012, the President signed into law the Government Charge Card Abuse Prevention Act of 2012 (Charge Card Act), Public Law (P.L.) 112-194, which reinforced the Administration’s efforts to prevent fraud, waste, and abuse of Government-wide charge card programs.

OMB Memorandum M-13-21, Implementation of the Government Charge Card Abuse Prevention Act of 2012 (OMB M-13-21), lists the following aspects of compliance with the Charge Card Act:

1. Agency’s required safeguards and internal controls;¹
2. Agency and OIG reports of purchase card violations;² and
3. OIG’s risk assessments and audits.³

OBJECTIVE, SCOPE AND METHODOLOGY

Objective:

The objective of the risk assessment is to assess the risks of illegal, improper, and erroneous purchases and payments in MCC’s charge card programs for USAID OIG to determine the necessary scope, frequency, and number of OIG audits or reviews of these programs.³

Scope:

CLA assessed the charge card programs as implemented in FY 2017. MCC’s charge card programs include purchase card, travel card, and fleet card programs. MCC does not have an integrated card program.⁴ MCC’s total purchase card spending was less than $10 million in FY 2017. Accordingly, the Agency and OIG semi-annual Joint Purchase Card Violation Report reporting requirement is not applicable to MCC.

¹ The Charge Card Act requires all executive branch agencies to establish and maintain safeguards and internal controls for purchase cards, travel cards, integrated cards, and centrally billed accounts.

² The requirement for the agency head and OIG to submit a semi-annual Joint Purchase and Integrated Card Violation Report for submission to OMB is only applicable to agencies with more than $10 million in purchase card spending in the prior fiscal year.

³ Audits for travel cards are required only for agencies with $10 million in prior year travel spending. The Charge Card Act did not provide a purchase card spending threshold. Each IG should perform an annual purchase card risk assessment. These risk assessments shall guide analysis or audits as necessary.

⁴ The integrated card is a combination of two or more business lines on a single card, e.g., purchase and travel.
Table 1 shows the total number of charge cardholders and the total spending in FY 2017 for each charge card program. We assessed the risk on the fleet card program as low as its total spending of $557 was de-minimis and had only one cardholder.

**Table 1: FY 2017 Number of Charge Cardholders and Spending**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge Card Program</th>
<th>Number of Cardholders</th>
<th>FY 2017 Disbursements (Rounded)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>$632,366</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>$3,953,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>333</strong></td>
<td><strong>$4,586,703</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There were two account types within the GSA SmartPay Program\(^5\) that MCC’s cardholders participated in:

1. **Centrally Billed Accounts (CBAs)** – are established by the agency to pay for official purchases, travel and travel related expenses. Payments are made directly to the bank by the Federal Government.
2. **Individually Billed Accounts (IBAs)** – are issued to employees to pay for official travel and travel related expenses. Payments are made directly to the bank by the individual.

Our risk assessment included the CBAs but did not include the IBAs. Employee’s business travel expenses using the IBA travel cards are reimbursed by MCC through the normal process of submitting travel vouchers.

**Methodology:**

CLA conducted risk assessments of the purchase card and travel card programs using a Strengths, Weaknesses, Opportunities, and Threats (SWOT) analysis.

- We reviewed the strengths (S) and weaknesses (W) in the design and the operating effectiveness of the purchase card and the travel card programs’ internal controls based on industry standards.
- We identified and evaluated opportunities (O) to establish controls in the purchase and travel card programs; (e.g., third party system controls; merchant codes; and daily, weekly, or monthly charge card spending limits).

---

\(^5\) Established in 1998, the GSA SmartPay Program is the world’s largest government charge card and commercial payment solutions program, providing services to more than 560 Federal agencies, organizations, and Native American tribal governments. GSA SmartPay payment solutions enable authorized government employees to make purchases on behalf of the Federal Government in support of their agency/organization’s mission.
• We identified and evaluated the threats (T) to the purchase and travel card programs, their likelihood to occur, and their impact quantitatively and qualitatively.

We conducted the risk assessment in accordance with performance audit standards as defined in Generally Accepted Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform our engagement to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our objective. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our objective.

As part of the risk assessment, we:

• Read and understand the requirements of the following applicable laws and regulations:
  o Charge Card Act
  o OMB M-13-21
  o OMB Circular No. A-123, Appendix B, Improving Management and Government Charge Card Programs
  o 49 U.S.C 40118, Fly America Act – requiring Federal travelers to use United States (U.S.) air carrier service for all air travel services funded by the U.S. government
  o MCC Charge Card Program Standard Operating Procedures (August 2013 version, revised in 2016)
  o MCC Charge Card Management Policy (May 2012 version)
  o Individually Billed Account Travel Charge Card Policy (August 2017 version)
  o Travel Office Procedures and Handbook (January 2017 version)
  o Temporary Duty Travel Policy and Procedures (July 2012 version)

• Obtained an understanding of MCC internal controls over its charge card programs and evaluated the design and operating effectiveness of relevant purchase and travel authorizations and payment controls.

• Reviewed OMB A-123, Appendix B test results performed by MCC in FY 2017.

• Ensured that MCC purchase cards and travel cards disbursements data used in our analysis were complete by reconciling it to the disbursement amount reported in the government-wide account statement for FY 2017.

• Analyzed the purchase and travel cards’ data attributes to assess the effectiveness of the design of their internal controls.

• Reviewed the FY 2017 Agency Financial Report (AFR) auditors’ report and the report on internal control over financial reporting for weaknesses in travel and purchase card programs.
• Judgmentally selected a sample of 20 purchase cards and 45 travel cards transactions for FY 2017 and reviewed related supporting documentation for those transactions, and considered the strengths and weaknesses in MCC’s internal controls.

RESULTS

MCC had 333 cardholders in FY 2017. Out of the 333 cardholders, four employees had both a purchase card and a travel card.

The purchase card program had 21 cardholders. All charges made on the 21 purchase cards were billed to one CBA. The travel card program had 311 cardholders. All charges made on the 311 travel cards were IBAs except for employee business travel airfares which were billed to one CBA.

We sampled and tested 65 out of 3,721 transactions from MCC’s total charge card disbursements in FY 2017 as shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Charge Card Program</th>
<th>Sample Count</th>
<th>Total Amount of Sample Tested (Rounded)</th>
<th>FY 2017 Total Number of Transactions</th>
<th>FY 2017 Total Disbursements (Rounded)</th>
<th>Percentage of Disbursements to Total Disbursements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Purchase</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$18,626</td>
<td>1,156</td>
<td>$632,366</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>$117,009</td>
<td>2,550</td>
<td>$3,953,780</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fleet</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>$0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$557</td>
<td>&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>$135,635</td>
<td>3,721</td>
<td>$4,586,703</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our detailed testing of the purchase and travel card transactions identified de-minimis noncompliance with MCC’s Charge Card Management Policy and Standard Operating Procedures that we communicated separately to MCC.

CONCLUSION

Based on the procedures performed, we determined that MCC’s three charge card programs comprising of the purchase cards, travel cards, and fleet card pose a low risk of illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments. The results of our risk assessment should not be interpreted to conclude that the charge card programs with low risk are free of illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments. Likewise, a high risk in the charge card programs should not be interpreted to indicate actual or known illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments.
DATE: March 4, 2019

TO: Alvin Brown
Deputy Assistant Inspector General for Audit
Office of Inspector General
United States Agency for International Development

FROM: Bryan Mabry /s/
Deputy Vice President
Department of Administration and Finance
Millennium Challenge Corporation


The Millennium Challenge Corporation (MCC) appreciates the opportunity to review the draft report titled “MCC Charge Card Programs Posed a Low Risk of Improper Purchases and Payments in Fiscal Year 2017.” MCC concurs with the conclusion of the report and is pleased that the risk assessment found that MCC’s charge card programs posed a low risk of illegal, improper, or erroneous purchases and payments in FY 2017. MCC will continue to ensure that the required safeguards and internal controls are in place over the charge card processes and the agency complies with authoritative guidance.

There were no recommendations as part of this audit, and as such, MCC does not provide a corrective action plan.

If you have any questions or require any additional information, please contact me at 202-521-3909 or mabrybc@mcc.gov; or Jude Koval, Director of Internal Controls and Audit Compliance at 202-521-7280 or kovaljg@mcc.gov.

CC: Damian Wilson, Acting Director, Financial Audits Division, OIG, USAID
Anna Elias, Lead Auditor, OIG, USAID
Cynthia Huger, Head of Agency and Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, A&F, MCC
Adam Bethon, Deputy Chief Financial Officer and Acting Deputy Vice President, A&F, MCC
Brian Corry, Managing Director, CGM, A&F, MCC
Doug Fairfield, Managing Director, DIS, A&F, MCC
Alice Miller, Chief Risk Officer, A&F, MCC
Jude Koval, Director, ICAC, A&F, MCC