



MEMORANDUM

DATE: April 16, 2020

TO: USAID/DCHA/FFP, Director, Clyde Hicks

FROM: USAID OIG Africa Regional Office, Assistant Director, Matthew Rathgeber /s/

SUBJECT: Financial Audit of USAID Resources Managed by Relief Society of Tigray in Ethiopia Under Multiple Agreements, October 1, 2016, to December 31, 2017 (Report No. 4-000-20-052-R)

This memorandum transmits the final audit report USAID resources managed by Relief Society of Tigray (REST) in Ethiopia under the following awards:

Award Name (Type)	Award Number	Period Audited	Prime implementer
Title II Development Food Assistance Program (cooperative agreement)	AID-FFP-A-16-00006	Oct. 1, 2016 – Dec. 31, 2017	
Yekokeb Berhan Highly Vulnerable Children Program (subaward - closeout)		Jan. 1 - Aug. 31, 2017	PACT Inc. Cooperation agreement HVC/009/251A1189/2011
Conference of Catholic Bishops Joint Emergency Operation (subaward)		Jan. 1 - Dec. 31, 2017	Catholic Relief Services - AID-FFP-A-12-00009
Promoting Opportunities Through Training, Education, Transition Investment & Livelihoods for Youth (subaward)		Jan. 1 – Dec. 31, 2017	Save the Children International - SCI SOF 84001890
Caring for Vulnerable Children Activity (subaward)		Oct. 1 – Dec. 31, 2017	Family Health International - AID-663-A-17-00007
Feed the Future Ethiopia - Livelihood for Resilience Activity (subaward)		Jan. 1 – Dec. 31, 2017	CARE Ethiopia - AID-663-A-17-00001
Communication for Health Project - 13621-G-2017-13 (subaward)		Jan. 1 – Dec. 31, 2017	John Hopkins University & John Snow, Inc. - AID-663-A-15-00011

Relief Society of Tigray contracted with the independent certified public accounting firm Tesfaye Teferi Anbesse, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, to conduct the audit. The audit firm stated that it performed its audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards

(GAGAS). However, it did not have continuing professional education and an external peer review that fully satisfied the requirements of GAGAS. The audit firm is responsible for the enclosed report and the conclusions expressed in it. We do not express an opinion on REST's fund accountability statement, the effectiveness of its internal control, or its compliance with the award, laws, and regulations.¹

The audit objectives were to (1) express an opinion on whether the fund accountability statement for the period audited, was presented fairly, in all material respects; (2) evaluate REST's internal controls; (3) determine whether REST complied with award terms and applicable laws and regulations; and (4) review the implementation status of the prior period recommendations.

To answer the audit objectives, Tesfaye Teferi Anbesse (1) audited the fund accountability statement for the award including the budgeted amounts by category and major items and the revenues received from USAID for the period covered by the audit and the costs reported by REST as incurred from October 1, 2016, to December 31, 2017; (2) evaluated the control environment, the adequacy of the accounting systems, and control procedures that pertain to REST's ability to report financial data consistent with the assertions embodied in each account of the fund accountability statement; (3) identified the award terms and pertinent laws and regulations and determined which of those, if not observed, could have a direct and material effect on the fund accountability statement; and (4) reviewed the implementation status of prior period recommendations. REST reported expenditures of \$20,596,120 in USAID funds during the audited period.

The audit firm concluded the fund accountability statement presented fairly, in all material respects, program revenues and costs incurred under the award for the period audited. The audit firm did not identify any questioned costs; material weaknesses in internal control; or instances of material noncompliance. In addition, although we are not making a recommendation for the one significant deficiency noted in the report, we suggest that Food for Peace determine if the recipient addressed the issues noted.

During our desk review, we noted several minor issues which the audit firm will need to address in future audit reports. We presented these issues in a memo to the controller, dated April 16, 2020.

Accordingly, we are not making any recommendations.

We appreciate the assistance extended during the engagement.

OIG does not routinely distribute independent public accounting reports beyond the immediate addressees because a high percentage of these reports contain information restricted from

¹ We reviewed the audit firm's report for conformity with professional reporting standards. Our desk reviews are typically performed to identify any items needing clarification or issues requiring management attention. Desk reviews are limited to review of the audit report itself and excludes review of the auditor's supporting working papers; they are not designed to enable us to directly evaluate the quality of the audit performed.

release under the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905 and Freedom of Information Act Exemption Four, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) (“commercial or financial information obtained from a person that is privileged or confidential”).