



MEMORANDUM

DATE: May 4, 2020

TO: USAID/Southern Africa, Mission Director, John Groarke

FROM: USAID OIG Africa Regional Office, Assistant Director, Matthew Rathgeber /s/

SUBJECT: Financial Audit of USAID Resources Managed by Sustainable Agriculture Technology in Multiple Countries Under Cooperative Agreement AID-674-A-17-00007, August 1, 2018, to July 31, 2019 (Report No. 4-674-20-065-R)

This memorandum transmits the final audit report on USAID resources managed by Sustainable Agriculture Technology (SAT) in Southern Africa. SAT contracted with the independent certified public accounting firm Grant Thornton, Harare, Zimbabwe, to conduct the audit. The audit firm stated that it performed its audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). However, it did not have an external peer review that fully satisfied the requirements of GAGAS. The audit firm is responsible for the enclosed report and the conclusions expressed in it. We do not express an opinion on SAT's fund accountability statement, the effectiveness of its internal control, or its compliance with the award, laws, and regulations.¹

The audit objectives were to (1) express an opinion on whether the fund accountability statement for the period audited, was presented fairly, in all material respects; (2) evaluate SAT's internal controls; (3) determine whether SAT complied with award terms and applicable laws and regulations; (4) review the indirect cost rate; and (5) review the implementation status of the prior period recommendations.

To answer the audit objectives, Grant Thornton (1) audited the fund accountability statement for the award including the budgeted amounts by category and major items and the revenues received from USAID for the period covered by the audit and the costs reported by SAT as incurred from August 1, 2018, to July 31, 2019; (2) evaluated the control environment, the adequacy of the accounting systems, and control procedures that pertain to SAT's ability to report financial data consistent with the assertions embodied in each account of the fund accountability statement; (3) identified the award terms and pertinent laws and regulations and

¹ We reviewed the audit firm's report for conformity with professional reporting standards. Our desk reviews are typically performed to identify any items needing clarification or issues requiring management attention. Desk reviews are limited to review of the audit report itself and excludes review of the auditor's supporting working papers; they are not designed to enable us to directly evaluate the quality of the audit performed.

determined which of those, if not observed, could have a direct and material effect on the fund accountability statement; (4) determined that the review of the indirect cost rate was not applicable; and (5) reviewed the implementation status of the prior period recommendations. SAT reported expenditures of \$959,989² in USAID funds during the audited period.

The audit firm concluded the fund accountability statement presented fairly, in all material respects, program revenues and costs incurred under the award for the period audited except for \$13,256 ineligible questioned costs; no material weaknesses in internal control; and two instances of material noncompliance. Since the questioned costs did not meet the OIG's established threshold of \$25,000 for making a recommendation, we are not making a recommendation for the questioned costs or for the two instances of noncompliance specifically related to the questioned costs. Nevertheless, we suggest that USAID/Southern Africa determine the allowability of the \$13,256 in ineligible questioned costs and recover any amount determined to be unallowable. We also suggest that USAID/Southern Africa determine if the recipient addressed the material issues of noncompliance noted.

During our desk review, we noted several minor issues which the audit firm will need to address in future audit reports. We presented these issues in a memo to the controller, dated May 4, 2020.

We appreciate the assistance extended during the engagement.

OIG does not routinely distribute independent public accounting reports beyond the immediate addressees because a high percentage of these reports contain information restricted from release under the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905 and Freedom of Information Act Exemption Four, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) ("commercial or financial information obtained from a person that is privileged or confidential").

² Total expenses of \$1,276,467 – \$316,478 cost sharing contribution, which should not have been included in the fund accountability statement = \$959,989