MEMORANDUM

DATE: July 14, 2022

TO: USAID/Southern Africa, Regional Mission Director, Andrew Karas

FROM: USAID OIG Africa Regional Office, Audit Director, Robert Mason /s/

SUBJECT: Financial Audit of USAID Resources Managed by Maternal, Adolescent and Child Health Institute NPC in South Africa Under Multiple Awards, October 1, 2020, to September 30, 2021 (Report No. 4-674-22-075-R)

This memorandum transmits the final audit report on USAID resources managed by Maternal, Adolescent and Child Health Institute NPC (MatCH) under the following awards:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Award Name (Type)</th>
<th>Award Number</th>
<th>Audited Period</th>
<th>Prime Implementer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating Program Achievements to Control the Epidemic (APACE) (cooperative agreement)</td>
<td>72067418CA00025</td>
<td>Oct. 1, 2020 – Sep. 30, 2021</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woza Asibonisane! Project (subagreement) (closeout)</td>
<td>#MATCH: AID674A1400012</td>
<td>Nov. 18, 2020 – Sep. 30, 2021</td>
<td>Centre for Communication Impact NPC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MatCH contracted with the independent certified public accounting firm PricewaterhouseCoopers Inc. (pwc), Durban, South Africa, to conduct the audit. The audit firm stated that it performed its audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards (GAGAS). However, it did not have an external peer review that fully satisfied the requirements of GAGAS. The audit firm is responsible for the enclosed report and the conclusions expressed in it. We do not express an opinion on MatCH’s schedule of
The audit objectives were to (1) express an opinion on whether the schedule of expenditures of USAID awards for the period audited, was presented fairly, in all material respects; (2) evaluate the MatCH’s internal controls; and (3) determine whether MatCH complied with award terms and applicable laws and regulations.

To answer the audit objectives, pwc (1) audited the schedule of expenditures of USAID awards for the award including the budgeted amounts by category and major items and the revenues received from USAID for the period covered by the audit and the costs reported by MatCH as incurred from October 1, 2020, to September 30, 2021; (2) evaluated the control environment, the adequacy of the accounting systems, and control procedures that pertain to MatCH ’s ability to report financial data consistent with the assertions embodied in each account of the schedule of expenditures of USAID awards; and (3) identified the award terms and pertinent laws and regulations and determined which of those, if not observed, could have a direct and material effect on the schedule of expenditures of USAID awards. MatCH reported expenditures of $24,155,928 in USAID funds during the audited period.

The audit firm concluded the schedule of expenditures of USAID awards presented fairly, in all material respects, program revenues and costs incurred under the award for the period. The audit firm identified no questioned costs; no material weaknesses in internal control; and no instances of material noncompliance.

Accordingly, we are not making any recommendations.

During our desk review, we noted several minor issues which the audit firm will need to address in future audit reports. We presented these issues in a memo to controller, dated July 14, 2022.

OIG does not routinely distribute independent public accounting reports beyond the immediate addressees because a high percentage of these reports contain information restricted from release under the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905 and Freedom of Information Act Exemption Four, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4) (“commercial or financial information obtained from a person that is privileged or confidential”).

---

1 We reviewed the audit firm’s report for conformity with professional reporting standards. Our desk reviews are typically performed to identify any items needing clarification or issues requiring management attention. Desk reviews are limited to review of the audit report itself and excludes review of the auditor’s supporting working papers; they are not designed to enable us to directly evaluate the quality of the audit performed.