MEMORANDUM

DATE: September 2, 2022

TO: USAID/Kenya and East Africa, Mission Director, David Gosney

FROM: USAID OIG Africa Regional Office, Audit Director, Robert Mason /s/

SUBJECT: Financial Program-Specific Audit of USAID Resources Managed by Program for Appropriate Technology in Health in Kenya Under Multiple Agreements, January 1 to December 31, 2021 (Report No. 4-615-22-091-R)

This memorandum transmits the final audit report on USAID resources managed by Program for Appropriate Technology in Health (PATH) under 1) Kenya Health Partnerships for Quality Services (KHPQS) 3-OVC and OVC/DREAMS, cooperative agreement 72061521CA00007, and 2) pass-through from Jaramogi Oginga University of Science and Technology (JOOUST)-Kenya Health Partnerships for Quality Service (KHPQS) Cluster 5, cooperative agreement 72061521CA00004. PATH contracted with the independent audit firm Clark Nuber PS, Bellevue, Washington to conduct the audit. The audit firm stated that it performed its audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards and the audit requirements of Title 2, U.S Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance). The audit firm is responsible for the enclosed report and the conclusions expressed in it. We do not express an opinion on PATH's schedule of expenditures of USAID awards; the effectiveness of its internal control; or its compliance with the award, laws, and regulations.\(^1\)

The audit objectives were to (1) obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule of expenditures of USAID awards as a whole is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error, and to issue an auditor's report that includes our opinion; (2) evaluate PATH's internal controls; and (3) determine whether PATH complied with award terms and applicable laws and regulations.

To answer the audit objectives, Clark Number PS (1) audited the schedule of expenditures of USAID awards including evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluate the overall presentation of the schedule for the period covered by the audit; (2) obtained an

---

\(^1\) We reviewed the audit firm’s report for conformity with professional reporting standards. Our desk reviews are typically performed to identify any items needing clarification or issues requiring management attention. Desk reviews are limited to review of the audit report itself and excludes review of the auditor’s supporting working papers; they are not designed to enable us to directly evaluate the quality of the audit performed.
understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of PATH’s internal controls; and (3) identified the award terms and pertinent laws and regulations and determined which of those, if not observed, could have a direct and material effect on the USAID Foreign Assistance for Programs Overseas program. PATH reported expenditures of $5,686,591 in USAID funds during the audited period.

The audit firm concluded the schedule of expenditures of USAID awards presented fairly, in all material respects, the expenditures under the awards for the period. The audit firm identified no questioned costs, no material weaknesses in internal control, and no instances of material noncompliance.

Accordingly, we are not making any recommendations.

OIG does not routinely distribute independent public accounting reports beyond the immediate addressees because a high percentage of these reports contain information restricted from release under the Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. 1905 and Freedom of Information Act Exemption Four, 5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4)(“commercial or financial information obtained from a person that is privileged or confidential”).